Applicant(s)/Patent Under Application/Control No. Reexamination TANG ET AL. 09/894,912 Art Unit Page 1 of 2 Notice of R ferenc s Cit d Examiner 1647 Bridget E. Bunner U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

	U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS Classification					
[*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY		Name	
-	A	US-	 			
+	В	US-				
+	C	US-				
十	D	US-				-
 	E	US-				
,	F	US-				
 	G	US-				
卜	+	US-				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
 	1	US-				
t	-	US-		-		
t		US-				
ţ		US-				
ţ		M US-		FOREIGN PATENT DOC	UMENTS	Classification
ı	Name					

	М	US-	FOF	REIGN PATENT DOC	UMENTS	Classification
*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	
	N					
	0		 			
	Р		 			
	Q		+			
	R		+			
	S		-			
	T			NON-PATENT DOC	UMENTS blisher Edition or Volume, Pertinent F	Pages)

_					
	т	NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS			
		Edition of Volume, Petitien of Volume, Petitien Co.			
		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages) Skolnick et al. From genes to protein structure and function: novel applications of computational approaches in the genomic Translet in Riotech 18(1): 34-39, 2000.			
*	1	tion, poyel applications of computational approaches			
	 	From genes to protein structure and function. Hover applied			
	١١	Skolnick et al. From genes to pro- era. Trends in Biotech 18(1): 34-39, 2000.			
1	U				
1	\	Genome Res 10: 398-400, 2000.			
	$\lceil \sqrt{\rceil}$	desirable in sequence analysis: the 70% nurule. Constant			
- 1		Bork, A. Powers and pitfalls in sequence analysis: the 70% hurdle. Genome Res 10: 398-400, 2000.			
- 1	1	Doerks et al. Protein annotation: detective work for function prediction. Trends in Genetics 14(6): 248-250, 1998.			
<u> </u>	┼	+ work for function prediction. Trends in Genetics 14(6)			
1	1 .	Protein annotation: detective work for function p			
- 1	W	Doerks et al. 1 Total Statute Biotech 15: 1222-1223,			
- 1	1	expectation or "The devil is in the details". Nature Section 2.			
H	1	Doerks et al. Protein annotation, detective annotation or "The devil is in the details". Nature Biotech 15: 1222-1223, Smith et al. The challenges of genome sequence annotation or "The devil is in the details".			
- 1	l x	Smith et al. The chart s			
- 1	١^	1997. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).)			
1					

^{*}A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).)
Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

Notice of R ferences Cited

Application/Control No. 09/894,912

Bridget E. Bunner

Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination TANG ET AL.

Examiner

1647

Art Unit

Page 2 of 2

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
	Α	US-			
	В	US-			
	С	US-			
	D	US-			
	Ε	US-			
	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	Н	US-			
	ı	US-			
	J	US-			
	К	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	0					
	Р					
	Q					<u></u>
	R					
	S					
	Т					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)				
	U	Brenner, S.E. Errors in genome function. Trends in Genetics 15(4): 132-133, 1999.				
	٧	Bork et al. Go hunting in sequence databases but watch out for the traps. Trends in Genetics. 12(10): 425-427, 1996.				
	w	Wells. J.A. Additivity of mutational effects in proteins. Biochemistry 29 (37): 8509-8517, 1990.				
	х	Ngo et al. Computational complexity, protein structure prediction, and the Levinthal paradox. The Protein Folding Problem and Tertiary Structure Prediction, pp. 492-495, 1994.				

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).)

Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.